



#9

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 147268.00261

Group Art Unit: 2153 )  
Examiner: W. Benson )  
Inventors: Huang et al. )  
Serial No.: 09/240,833 )  
Filed: February 1, 1999 )  
For: IP ROUTING LOOKUP )  
SCHEME AND SYSTEM )  
FOR MULTI-GIGABIT )  
SWITCHING ROUTERS )

RENEWED  
PETITION UNDER  
37 C.F.R. SECTION  
1.137(b)

RECEIVED  
MAY 01 2002  
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Hon. Commissioner of Patents  
And Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, Box DAC, Washington, D.C. 20231 on April 22, 2002.

By \_\_\_\_\_

Sir:

In the Decision on Petition Under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.137(b) to revive the above-identified patent application mailed February 21, 2002 (copy

enclosed) to which a response is due by April 22, 2002 (April 21, 2002, being a Sunday), the Petitions Examiner stated that a required reply was not submitted and requested that such reply be filed. In response, Applicants submit the enclosed Responsive Paper-A.

Reconsideration and favorable decision is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas T. Moga  
Registration No. 34,881  
Attorney for Applicants

POWELL, GOLDSTEIN, FRAZER & MURPHY, LLP  
P.O. Box 97233  
Washington, D.C. 20090-7223  
202-624-3947

Dated: **April 22, 2002**

TTM/hs



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 8

147268.00261

Request for Reconsideration

DUE: 3/22/02 4/21/02 (per DW) REC 2/26/02 PGF&M

THOMAS T MOGA  
POWELL GOLDSTEIN FRAZER & MURPHY LLP  
PO BOX 97223  
WASHINGTON DC 20009

**COPY MAILED**

**FEB 21 2002**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Huang, et al.  
Application No. 09/240,833  
Filed: February 1, 1999  
Attorney Docket No. 147268.00261

ON PETITION

**COPY**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed January 18, 2002, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency decision within the meaning of 5 USC 704.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply to the non-final Office action mailed January 30, 2001, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on May 1, 2001. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on September 25, 2001.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(d).

The instant petition lacks item (1), the required reply. Petitioner has failed to submit a reply to the non-final Office action mailed January 30, 2001.

A copy of the January 30, 2001 Office action is enclosed for petitioner's convenience.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and

**RECEIVED**

**MAY 01 2002**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

(P) [signature]

circumstances of such delay.<sup>1</sup> In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.


Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Commissioner for Patents  
Box DAC  
Washington, D.C. 20231


By FAX: (703) 308-6916  
Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Office of Petitions  
2201 South Clark Place  
Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23  
Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (703) 305-0272.

  
Beverly M. Flanagan  
Supervisory Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

COPY

  
Cliff Congo  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

Enc: Office action, mailed January 30, 2001 (6 pages)

RECEIVED

MAY 01 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

---

<sup>1</sup> See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997).